Discussion of Alaska Municipal League's policies



From: Kathie Wasserman [kathie@akml.org]

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 1:43 PM Subject: AML Position Document

All,

For approximately a year, we have had a dedicated group of AML volunteer committee members, working on positions that have historically been held by AML, as a whole. Our intent was to do away with the VERY large, cumbersome document that we had been word-smithing for the last twenty years and come up with a document that was concise, to the point, and gave the general position for which AML has been advocating over the past ten years. We intend for this document to be fluid and always changing, as things change in our state. We do NOT intend this document to state specific positions with regards to yearly legislation, etc. This document, instead, states our general principles.

Please read this document over. If you see some glaring issues with which your municipality cannot agree, please submit a resolution of your governing body (Assembly or Council) stating the changes you wish to see. While this may seem like a longer process than before, that is right. But, we were having policy dictated by one person many times in the past. The members of AML are "municipalities" and "municipalities" should be the ones changing our positions/policies.

Please either send those resolutions to the AML office. The Position Committee already has some changes submitted by the AML Board of Directors, which they will be discussing for inclusion into the document. That meeting will be held in Ketchikan on August 19th, so we (AML staff) will have to have these resolutions in our hot little hands by August 18th. I will be out of town during most of July for NACo AND my blessed "ROAD TRIP." So, if you have any questions, call Betty and she can call me, etc. I will miss all of you more than life, itself. Well, almost more than life itself. Certainly, I will miss you more than I would miss Peanut Butter, if it disappeared from the face of the earth. I will CERTAINLY miss you all more than root canal work. That's something, anyway.

Kathie



Tel (907) 586-1325 • Fax (907) 463-5480 • www.akml.org

AML's Philosophy on Policy

For a number of years, the AML Board of Directors has explored ways to fine tune the process we use to develop League policy and to establish our legislative priorities from year to year. The now defunct Policy Manual had grown too large, was filled with inconsistencies from section to section, and contained a number of statements that were contrary to the League's mission. The Board's solution was to scrap the Policy Manual and to start fresh with very succinct *Position Statements* providing broad guidance in a number of subject areas that could assist staff and our membership as we assess our annual and on-going priorities.

Position Statements are not meant to address any specific legislation, policy, regulation, or program. Rather these statements represent broad guidance or general philosophy. The League will continue to use resolutions, adopted each year, to address specific issues of concern for the coming year. Annual resolutions will be consistent with the philosophy of the Position Statements, but address an issue in real time. Resolutions will expire each year, but the Position Statements remain in force indefinitely; or as modified over time.

The initial Position Statements enclosed herein were developed by a standing committee composed of appointed or elected officials from member communities who had expertise in one or more of the following subject areas:

Economic Development

Education

Energy

Finance/Taxation

Public Safety

Resource Management

Transportation

Other committee members include one at-large member, the AML Executive Director and the sitting AML Board President.

These initial Statements are in draft form only, pending review of member communities, further refinement by the committee at our summer meeting in Ketchikan, and ultimately adoption by the membership at our annual conference in Anchorage this November.

In order to assure that the Position Statements remain true to the goal of reflecting the will of our members and the League's philosophy and mission; changes to Position Statements will only be considered by either the committee or the membership, if endorsed by a resolution from a member community's council or assembly.

The position statements that follow are in no particular order of priority and therefore no inference should be drawn from a particular statement's location within the document.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Local Control

Article X of the Alaska State Constitution makes mention, throughout, of "maximum local self-government..." The Alaska Supreme Court has used this section to make close calls in favor of municipalities in many court proceedings. While Title 29 lays out the laws under which a municipal government must operate, liberal construction is given to municipalities under the State Constitution.

Due to the large geographical land mass of Alaska; due to the different cultures that are in place in the many large areas of Alaska; due to the differing array of climates and environment experienced by each area of Alaska; and due to the infrastructure, facilities and services provided within each area, it is common knowledge that most "one size fits all" legislation that might attempt to envelop the entire State, does not usually work well in Alaska. Therefore, it is imperative that, unless prohibited by law, that municipalities, closest to the electorate, be able to provide their constituents with the laws, services, benefits, and taxation that the local populations, through their local elected officials, feel is appropriate.

The Alaska Municipal League has always based their positions upon two guiding principles: Does it allow for maximum local control, and/or does it create an unfunded mandate.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Revenue Sharing

The State's Constitution entrusts state government with managing the state's resources to the maximum benefit of all Alaskans. The Constitution also promotes maximum self-governance at the local level. It is, therefore, incumbent upon the Governor and Legislature to manage and distribute the wealth of Alaska's resources to local governments each and every year. Predictable, dependable, and direct Revenue Sharing is therefore required for the State to meet its Constitutional obligations. To that end, the State should dedicate a specific long-term funding source that would perpetually sustain the Municipal Revenue Sharing Program.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

PERS/TRS Unfunded Liability

Management of Alaska's public retirement system has always been the responsibility of state government. Participating municipalities have had no choice but to rely on information provided by the state, when making decisions regarding their own contributions to the system. Decades of improper accounting and inaccurate actuarial data provided by the State to municipalities, has now created a huge unfunded pension liability that can no longer be accurately apportioned among all participating employers. The League recognizes that there is municipal responsibility to participate in solving this fiscal problem. However, as the primary responsible party and the only entity with the long-term resources to effectively deal with the magnitude of the issue, the State should incorporate into its long-term fiscal planning strategy a leading position that ensures this ongoing obligation is met, while maintaining close coordination with participating employers to avoid the potential of shifting too great a burden to local governments.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Fiscal Policy

The State is facing an unprecedented fiscal crisis. It is therefore critical to establish long-term financial policy as opposed to short-term reactive approaches that primarily focus on the annual revenue/expenditure fluctuations or fiscal austerity.

Any budget cut at the State level in one department's program area must be coordinated with complimentary programs in other departments. The same needs to occur between State and local municipal programs. To do otherwise will sacrifice critical service delivery and the health of the economy statewide. The League calls upon the Governor to provide Cabinet level leadership during budget formulation to balance these interrelated effects. At the legislative level the League asks that the Director of Management and Budget works closely with the Director of the Legislative Finance Division to assure that programs remain balanced during the Legislature's budget deliberations.

Funding reductions, when necessary, should occur over a number of years to provide municipalities with the reaction time to make adjustments. New revenue sources, if considered, must always consider impacts to existing local government revenue sources first. Local municipal sources already in place must always take primacy over new State revenue schemes.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Education

<u>Funding of Public School Districts:</u> The Alaska Constitution provides that the State shall "establish and maintain a system of public schools." In Alaska, the State established school districts to be the basic unit for the administration of schools. The funding of these school districts is complex and segmented with Federal, State, and local sources. Additionally, State and Federal categorical funds are available to meet special circumstances, which adds to the complexity.

- Sudden alterations in funding and dramatic shifts in funding levels cause havoc as the districts try to implement programs. Thus stability of funding is required for the adequate management of school districts. Funding levels will change; but <u>predictable</u>, <u>phased</u> increases (or reductions if necessary) are essential.
- Additionally billions of State and local dollars have been expended on educational infrastructure, sudden reductions in funding inevitably cause the deferral in maintenance of this massive investment. Such deferral of maintenance increases the eventual price tag when minor maintenance issues become critical failures.

Local Control: One of Alaska Municipal League's guiding principles is local control. AML recognizes that ultimate control of education rests with the State Legislature by constitutional dictate; but also recognizes that every educational mandate by the Legislature can impact other important locally developed programs. Every School District is unique with widely different populations, cultures, lifestyles, educational backgrounds, and expectations. AML therefore challenges the Legislature to maximize local control over education and to provide flexibility for local circumstance wherever possible.

Evaluation of School Performance: Evaluation of schools is a process of assessing and reporting a set of key indicators, such as student standardized test results, proficiency rates, graduation rates, drop-out rates, etc. This evaluation process should provide the community with the data on how well the students and district are performing, and to provide the school district with the benchmarks for programmatic improvement. The goal is to continuously improve local educational programs. Almost every new Administration brings a new performance or accountability program, with a different twist, tool, or plan requiring school district action or adaptation. Each alteration has the potential of interfering with the longitudinal evaluation data stream. Thus it is essential that each change be tailored to avoid that disruption. In Alaska, the evaluation process needs to be local, positive, and focused on continually enhancing the local educational performance.

<u>Education Programs for Workforce Development</u>: The primary task for Alaska's University System and the vocational technical centers in Alaska is to prepare Alaskans with the skills needed by Alaskan Industries and Employers. There are, of course, other tasks performed by these educational institutions, but preparing the populace to meet the economic and workforce needs in Alaska needs to be kept as the principal priority.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Energy

Energy Policy. Alaska's economy depends heavily on increasingly expensive gasoline and diesel fuel for heating, transportation, electric power and light. Energy costs in rural areas are generally significantly higher, but vary widely depending on transportation costs, seasonal usage peaks, nearby petroleum development infrastructure, and many other factors. Wind and hydroelectric power are abundant and underdeveloped. Processing and distribution facilities to use some of the oil and gas produced in Alaska, are virtually non-existent. There are extensive gas reserves, but no current way to get it to the lower 48 or other markets. Production, transportation, storage, and distribution systems to take advantage of these resources in Alaska must be developed immediately.

Alaska's current oil and gas energy policy is complicated and to some extent, driven by the industry and national political decisions which are outside Alaskan's control. Support, other than financial, needs to be provided to encourage new exploration and development of the oil and gas resources. Alaska policy needs to emphasize the production, distribution, use and sale of our oil and gas resource to benefit Alaskans.

Alaska's energy policy therefore requires a thorough review with emphasis on the needs of Alaska residents. Permitting and future development of energy resources need to emphasize "Alaska First." Processing facilities and distribution for Alaskans must be a very high priority. Other opportunities, although expensive, abound for the use of alternative energy from the sun, water, wind, and tidal surges. The technology to build and operate facilities utilizing some of Alaska's natural resources to provide biomass for heat and fuel also needs more emphasis. Revenues from a new natural gas pipeline must be made available for local communities and rural residents to draw upon for energy related grants or for low interest rate loans to help diversify and reduce energy costs.

<u>Energy Planning</u>. Alaska is one of the most energy rich states in the union, yet the cost of energy throughout the State is far above the national average. Most local governments have identified the cost of energy as a primary detrimental influence affecting quality of life and economic expansion within their communities.

As the State moves forward with plans to develop a North Slope LNG pipeline to tidewater, it is critical that strategic planning be started immediately at the State level to identify local energy needs statewide, and to develop a comprehensive plan to use either the natural gas itself, or the revenue from the sale of natural gas, to mitigate the high cost of energy throughout the State. This planning must occur in time to influence the design of any LNG pipeline and/or associated processing/shipping facilities, as to maximize the ability of those facilities to meet the strategic energy needs of the State.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Transportation

Transportation infrastructure in Alaska includes much more than roads. When we say Transportation we mean surface, air, trail, rail and water. All of these modes work together to move people, goods and services throughout our great state.

Transportation investment has been studied and proven to be a critical economic driver over and over again. Alaska's transportation infrastructure is pivotal to the state's economy and facilitates access to markets, supplies, and most of all, resources. Improving and investing in its transportation system will enhance the global competitiveness of Alaska business and economic opportunities for its people. Alaska needs new transportation infrastructure development to provide access to resources, reduce barriers for communities to participate in the economy, allow for safe and efficient transportation for all Alaskans, and to dramatically improve Alaskan's quality of life statewide. It is equally important to ensure the maintenance of our existing infrastructure.

Historically, the federal government has been funding 85%-90% of Alaska's transportation infrastructure budget. The Federal Highway Trust Fund is experiencing significant shortfalls, contributing to a decrease in federal funds for Alaska, which is not likely to rebound. The League therefore supports a State Transportation Fund with predictable funding that will provide continuity between Administrations and be applicable to all our modes of transportation.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Fishing

Alaska has over 34,000 miles of coastlines on three different seas: Arctic Ocean, Pacific Ocean, and the Bering Sea. Over half of the nation's commercially harvested fish, crabs and shrimp come from Alaska, nearly four times more than the next largest seafood producing state. A rich variety of other species, such as sea cucumbers, abalone, sea urchins, herring, etc. also come from Alaskan waters and provide unique export markets. Eight of Alaska's ports consistently rate in the top 30 U.S. ports in terms of volume or value of seafood delivered. Seafood has been and remains one of Alaska's top export commodities.

The vast fishery resources of Alaska are of tremendous importance to the economies of the state and the nation. Local benefits from these resources are not uniformly spread throughout Alaska, but heavily concentrated in communities along the coast of Alaska. The economy of some communities are solely dependent on the fishing industry. Throughout most of the state, there is also a subsistence need and lifestyle associated with the fish resources.

Revenues to local communities from fishing are highly variable and dependent on a number of factors including the strength of fish returns, quota allocations, health of the fishery, and the port to which the catches are delivered. As state funding declines many of the coast communities face major challenges to maintain community services provided to the large seasonal influx of fisherman. It will therefore be almost impossible to maintain the current ports and harbor facilities without some other sources of revenue. As the fishing industry adjusts to change, the state needs to review its current laws and regulations regarding the collection and distribution of revenues from fishery resources and ensure they are applied to support the fishing industry.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Public Safety

The State must continue to provide public safety services to areas not covered by local law enforcement agencies (per AS 44.41.020). The State must also continue assistance to municipal police departments with the enforcement of criminal laws (AS 18.65.090). Smaller municipal departments rely on this assistance during large or complex incidents. It is also critical for the State to maintain all necessary training for Village Public Safety Officers (VPSOs). The VPSO is essential for communities that otherwise would not have law enforcement located within the community.

The State has the responsibility to conduct search and rescues in the State with the exception of some federal lands (AS 18.60.120). Municipalities often depend on State resources to conduct search and rescues within their municipalities. This critical service must continue as the State's resources are generally much more robust then that available to a municipality.

The State provided criminal justice information system that is utilized by State and local law enforcement agencies (AS 44.41.020(b)) is vital to municipal law enforcement as it provides information unavailable through any other sources. Further, the State Crime Lab provides forensic services to law enforcement agencies free of charge. State and local law enforcement rely on these services for aiding in the investigation and prosecution of crimes and in solving other non-criminal cases. Any reduction in either of these services would have a corresponding reduction in law enforcement effectiveness statewide.

The State has implemented and maintains an interoperable communication system that is used by an increasing number of public safety personnel in the state. This system allows for an increased range of operation and the ability to communicate with other local and state agencies that municipalities must work closely with. As long as the cost of these systems continues to be borne by the State, municipalities will continue to find them to be very useful tools. However, should costs begin to shift to the local level; communities would likely opt out of these systems.

The State operates the Public Safety Academy that trains many law enforcement officers, with the exception of the larger municipalities. The training for municipal police officers is generally funded, upon request, through the Alaska Police Standards Council (APSC). APSC is also responsible for setting the standards for police officers and for certifying police officers. The Public Safety Academy must be maintained for required initial training of municipal officers which is necessary to bring consistency to the interpretation and enforcement of State laws.

Some municipalities operate contract holding facilities for in-custody persons. These municipalities rely on funding from the State to operate these facilities. Without this funding, law enforcement or Department of Corrections staff would be forced to transport those individuals that are in-custody to State Correctional Facilities. This would require an inordinate amount of time and expense.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Economic Development

Economic development permeates all AML position statements. Effective communication between the Governor, the Legislature, and local governments is going to be critical to the success of any strategic long range state or local economic development strategy.

Even though government cannot affect all the factors important to economic development, it can have a significant impact through both its traditional role as a public service provider and regulator, and its entrepreneurial role as a dealmaker and business recruiter. Of these two roles, the former is essential – government must provide quality basic services and an efficient regulatory environment if it wishes to create economic development. Providing further incentives to businesses are optional; whether it makes sense depends on what government can reasonably offer, the extent to which such offerings are necessary to attract or retain firms, and that the cost of such offerings can be fully funded by the State or made entirely a local option.

Public policy can affect factors that are important to businesses, primarily through regulations, taxes, and incentives. It is therefore incumbent upon the Governor and Legislature to maintain a long-term commitment to Alaska's future by considering local stability and growth, as well as local community plans to develop and implement a comprehensive State vision for economic growth and diversification. Such a vision then needs to guide all future State policy and budgetary decisions.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Minerals

Alaska's size and complex geology provide immense opportunity for a wide variety of minerals to occur, including coal, gold, copper, silver, molybdenum, zinc, and rare earth minerals. Before statehood, the mineral industry made up about 30% of the economy as compared to about 5% today. Vast untapped mineral reserves exist. One disadvantage in Alaska is the distance from markets, lack of road systems to move the material, and in some cases, the lack of technology to develop and process the mineral. All these factors raise the cost of production and make it harder for the industry to compete with other parts of the U.S. and the world.

Alaska enjoys a unique position in that there are vast untapped minerals for the future. These minerals can be developed when needed. Such development should occur incorporating the best environmental practices to protect the environment. Bonds need to be required to protect the resources and people in case of default. AML supports and encourages research efforts, whether public or private, into new and improved methods to overcome Alaska's disadvantages, to negate potential adverse impacts, and to improve mineral recovery. Special emphasis should be aimed at improving discharge quality from mine operations and to reduce the risk of dam failures.

The Governor and Legislature need to be active advocates in the responsible development of our mineral resources.



DRAFT AML Position Statements

Clean Water

Alaska's abundance of rivers, lakes, wetlands, snowfields, and glaciers comprise an estimated 40% of the Nation's surface water. There are more than 12,000 rivers in Alaska, and three of those rivers, the Yukon, the Kuskokwim, and the Copper, are among the ten largest rivers in the United States. Alaska has more than 3 million lakes ranging from pond size to 1,000 square miles. Despite Alaska's wealth of water, its water resources are not uniformly distributed geographically or seasonally.

Water is highly important to Alaskans, not only for domestic use, but also for the fishing and tourism industries. Alaska needs to assure that policies are in place to protect the quality of its waters, while not inhibiting responsible development, and that those policies are reviewed and updated periodically to assure they include the most up to date and proven science, and are applicable to the unique characteristics of our state.

Alaska also needs to take an active role in the trans-boundary protection of rivers and streams. Treaties with Canada on the protection of rivers need to be reviewed to assure they include new technologies and practices.

All types of development need to account for the costs of putting the best mitigation practices in effect.